

## ARTICLE OPEN



# Coherent propagation of spin-orbit excitons in a correlated metal

Valentin Zimmermann<sup>1</sup>, Arvind Kumar Yogi<sup>1,2</sup>, Deniz Wong<sup>3</sup>, Christian Schulz<sup>3</sup>, Maciej Bartkowiak<sup>3</sup>, Klaus Habicht<sup>3,4</sup>, Lichen Wang<sup>1</sup>, Masahiko Isobe<sup>1</sup>, Matteo Minola<sup>1</sup>, Giniyat Khaliullin<sup>1</sup>, Bernhard Keimer<sup>1</sup>✉ and Matthias Hepting<sup>1</sup>✉

Collective excitations such as plasmons and paramagnons are fingerprints of atomic-scale Coulomb and exchange interactions between conduction electrons in metals. The strength and range of these interactions, which are encoded in the excitations' dispersion relations, are of primary interest in research on the origin of collective instabilities such as superconductivity and magnetism in quantum materials. Here we report resonant inelastic x-ray scattering experiments on the correlated 4d-electron metal Sr<sub>2</sub>RhO<sub>4</sub>, which reveal a spin-orbit entangled collective excitation. The dispersion relation of this mode is opposite to those of antiferromagnetic insulators such as Sr<sub>2</sub>IrO<sub>4</sub>, where the spin-orbit excitons are dressed by magnons. The presence of propagating spin-orbit excitons implies that the spin-orbit coupling in Sr<sub>2</sub>RhO<sub>4</sub> is unquenched, and that collective instabilities in 4d-electron metals and superconductors must be described in terms of spin-orbit entangled electronic states.

npj Quantum Materials (2023)8:53; <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41535-023-00585-4>

## INTRODUCTION

The presence of strong intra-atomic spin-orbit coupling (SOC) in quantum materials can give rise to a variety of many-body phenomena, ranging from spin liquid phases to topologically protected states<sup>1–3</sup>. Since the SOC constant  $\lambda$  scales with the atomic number, it is strong in 5d-electron materials, while it is naturally less prominent in 4d and 3d systems. Nonetheless, it can still shape the electronic and magnetic properties of the latter materials. For instance, SOC is a critical ingredient for the emergence of excitonic magnetism in the 4d antiferromagnetic (AFM) Mott insulator Ca<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub><sup>4–9</sup>, and it can lead to prevalent Kitaev exchange interactions in other 4d ruthenates<sup>10</sup> as well as in 3d cobaltates<sup>11</sup>.

Collective excitations (quasiparticles) that possess a spin-orbit-entangled character are hallmarks of the role of SOC in shaping the electronic properties of quantum materials. Notably, the dispersion relation of such spin-orbit exciton (SOE) modes encodes the relevant microscopic interaction parameters, from which model Hamiltonians can be constructed, as demonstrated for several insulating 3d materials<sup>12–15</sup>. Another example is the 5d AFM Mott insulator Sr<sub>2</sub>IrO<sub>4</sub>, where strong SOC splits the  $t_{2g}$ -orbital manifold into separated bands with total angular momentum  $j = 1/2$  and  $3/2$ <sup>16–22</sup>, and a SOE mode arises from transitions of holes across the split manifold<sup>23–28</sup>. Resonant inelastic x-ray scattering (RIXS) experiments at the Ir  $L_3$ -edge<sup>23,24</sup> and at the O  $K$ -edge<sup>26–28</sup> found that the SOE dispersion exhibits a maximum at the  $\Gamma$ -point and a minimum at the AFM Brillouin zone (BZ) boundary. The corresponding propagation of the SOE can be described by theories that include the interaction with magnons in the exciton hopping process<sup>23,24</sup>. In hole- and electron-doped Sr<sub>2</sub>IrO<sub>4</sub> without long-range AFM order, the SOE retains its typical dispersion as long as short-lived magnetic excitations (paramagnons) exist<sup>29,30</sup>.


Recently, the influence of the intra-atomic SOC on the electronic structure and macroscopic properties of correlated metals has

moved into the center of attention. For instance, the debate about the role of SOC for the unconventional superconductivity in the correlated 4d metal Sr<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub><sup>31–36</sup> has been revived<sup>37–40</sup>. Yet, whereas collective excitations of conduction electrons in metallic systems, such as plasmons<sup>41,42</sup> and paramagnons<sup>43,44</sup>, have been extensively studied, the propagation of spin-orbit entangled modes in such systems has remained elusive. One notable exception are heavy-fermion metals<sup>45</sup> where spin-orbit excitations can be mediated by the Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida (RKKY) interaction between local  $f$ -electron moments and conduction electrons<sup>46</sup>, which, however, is quite different from Sr<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub> where only  $d$ -electrons are situated at the Fermi level.

Here we use RIXS at the O  $K$ -edge to examine the low-energy excitation spectrum of the correlated 4d-electron material Sr<sub>2</sub>RhO<sub>4</sub>. Similarly to Sr<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub>, the material is a paramagnetic (PM) metal with a sharply defined Fermi surface<sup>47–49</sup>, but with one additional valence electron its 4d<sup>5</sup> configuration is isoelectronic to Sr<sub>2</sub>IrO<sub>4</sub> (5d<sup>5</sup>). The distinct insulating AFM ground state of the latter material is usually rationalized by its narrow, half-filled  $j = 1/2$  band that is further split into upper and lower Hubbard bands due to on-site Coulomb repulsion  $U$ <sup>16,17</sup>. In the case of Sr<sub>2</sub>RhO<sub>4</sub>, it was suggested that the moderate SOC is incapable of fully splitting the  $j = 1/2$  and  $3/2$  bands, and thus even an increased  $U$  cannot open a Mott gap, leaving the material metallic<sup>17</sup>. Specifically, extensive studies on the electronic structure of Sr<sub>2</sub>RhO<sub>4</sub> pointed out that the metallic ground state is actually the result of a concerted interplay between SOC, Coulomb interaction, and structural distortions<sup>49–52</sup>, although the definite role of SOC and whether it acts in an effective, 'Coulomb-enhanced'<sup>53,54</sup> fashion is still under debate. Most importantly, however, it is not clear to what extent the ionic  $j = 1/2$  and  $3/2$  picture, which is natural for insulating materials, is applicable in a correlated metal.

Our RIXS experiment reveals a well-defined, dispersive SOE mode, whose emergence is an explicit manifestation of the splitting of the  $t_{2g}$  manifold into bands carrying total angular

<sup>1</sup>Max Planck Institute for Solid State Research, Heisenbergstraße 1, D-70569 Stuttgart, Germany. <sup>2</sup>UGC-DAE Consortium for Scientific Research (CSR), Indore Centre, University Campus, Khandwa Road, Indore, (M.P.) 452001, India. <sup>3</sup>Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin für Materialien und Energie, Hahn-Meitner-Platz 1, D-14109 Berlin, Germany. <sup>4</sup>Institute of Physics and Astronomy, University of Potsdam, Karl-Liebknecht-Straße 24/25, D-14476 Potsdam, Germany. ✉email: b.keimer@fkf.mpg.de; hepting@fkf.mpg.de



**Fig. 1 Experimental scattering geometry and orbital states probed in XAS and RIXS.** **a** Crystal structure of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$ , with the solid black lines corresponding to the tetragonal unit cell. The crystallographic  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$ -axis are indicated, as well the  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$ -axis of the  $\text{RhO}_6$  octahedral reference frame. The labels  $\text{O}_a$  and  $\text{O}_p$  mark the apical and in-plane oxygen ions, respectively. **b** Schematic of the scattering geometry of the O  $K$ -edge RIXS experiment. The square planar lattice of a  $\text{RhO}_2$  plane is illustrated, where the  $\text{RhO}_6$  octahedral tilts are omitted for simplicity. The scattering plane (gray) is spanned by the  $z$ -direction and either the  $x$ - or the diagonal  $x + y$  direction (not shown here). The former case corresponds to transfer of the projected in-plane momentum along the  $(0,0)-(\pi,0)$  direction in reciprocal space, and the latter case along the  $(0,0)-(\pi,\pi)$  direction. The incident photons are linearly  $\pi$ -polarized, and  $\mathbf{k}$  ( $\mathbf{k}'$ ) corresponds to the incoming (outgoing) photon beam. The angle between  $\mathbf{k}$  and the sample surface is denoted by  $\theta$ . **c** Splitting of the  $t_{2g}$  hole level into the  $j = 1/2$  and  $3/2$  spin-orbit multiplets. **d** XAS across the O  $K$ -edge measured in total electron yield for  $\theta = 6^\circ$  (top panel) and  $\theta = 80^\circ$  (bottom panel). The vertical dashed line marks the incident photon energy (528.1 eV) used for the RIXS measurements. The labels refer to the Rh  $t_{2g}$  orbitals ( $d_{xz}$ ,  $d_{yz}$ ,  $d_{xy}$ ) that are hybridized with  $2p$  states either from the  $\text{O}_a$  or  $\text{O}_p$  ions. **e** Schematics of the orbital hybridizations that are relevant in **d**. Blue (gray) boxes indicate hybridizations with in-plane (apical) oxygen  $p$  orbitals.

momentum  $j = 1/2$  and  $3/2$ , in close analogy to the spin-orbit split electronic structure of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$ . We observe, however, that the SOE in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$  exhibits a markedly distinct dispersion relation, which we model and discuss in the context of the different ground states of the two materials. Our results provide insights into the collective dynamics of spin-orbit entangled quasiparticles, which had previously remained elusive in correlated metallic systems.

## RESULTS

### Identification of orbital states in XAS


The experiment was performed on  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$  single-crystals grown by the optical floating zone method (see Materials and Methods). Figure 1a shows the unit cell of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$  in the tetragonal space group  $I4_1/acd$ , which is closely related to  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$ <sup>55</sup>. The lattice parameters  $a, b = 5.45 \text{ \AA}$  and  $c = 25.75 \text{ \AA}$  were determined from a Rietveld refinement of powder x-ray diffraction data of a pulverized  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$  crystal (see Supplementary Note 1). The scattering geometry used for the XAS and RIXS measurements is sketched in Fig. 1b. While the scattering angle between the incoming ( $\mathbf{k}$ ) and outgoing photon beam ( $\mathbf{k}'$ ) was fixed to  $130^\circ$ , the projected momentum transfer within the  $\text{RhO}_2$  planes could be varied by changing the incident angle  $\theta$ . Furthermore, an azimuthal rotation of the sample by  $45^\circ$  (not shown here) allowed us to orient the in-plane momentum transfer either along the Rh-O-Rh bond direction  $[(0,0)-(\pi,0)$  direction], or along the diagonal direction  $[(0,0)-(\pi,\pi)$  direction]. Note that the former direction coincides (approximately) with the  $x/y$  direction as defined within the  $\text{RhO}_6$  octahedral network (Fig. 1a), while the latter direction coincides with the crystallographic  $a/b$  direction.

Figure 1d shows the XAS pre-edge structure of the O  $K$ -edge of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$ , which corresponds to transitions into unoccupied states of hybridized O  $2p$  and Rh  $4d$  ( $t_{2g}$ ) orbitals. Clear differences are visible between spectra taken for  $\theta$  close to grazing incidence (upper panel) and close to normal incidence (lower panel). The angular

dependence of the XAS features is due to the spatial extensions of the lobes of the three active Rh  $t_{2g}$ -orbitals ( $d_{xz}$ ,  $d_{yz}$ ,  $d_{xy}$ ), as well as crystallographically distinct oxygen sites of the  $\text{RhO}_6$  octahedra, differentiating the apical ( $\text{O}_a$ ) and the in-plane oxygen sites ( $\text{O}_p$ ). Depending on the chosen scattering geometry, the XAS signal contains contributions from specific combinations of the Rh  $t_{2g}$  orbitals with either the  $\text{O}_a$  or the  $\text{O}_p$  ions, each of which possesses three different  $p$  orbitals ( $p_x$ ,  $p_y$ ,  $p_z$ ). Schematics of the Rh-O hybridized orbital states that are relevant for our study are displayed in Fig. 1e. The assignment of the XAS features in Fig. 1d to these hybridized states is consistent with a previous O  $K$ -edge XAS study on  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$ <sup>56</sup>.

### Spin-orbit entangled excitations in RIXS

Figure 2 shows RIXS spectra measured with an incident photon energy of 528.1 eV. For small  $\theta$ , this energy coincides with the XAS resonance energy of Rh  $d_{yz}$  and  $d_{xz}$  states hybridized with  $p_z$  orbitals of the planar  $\text{O}_p$  ions (Fig. 1d). For larger values of  $\theta$ , the Rh  $d_{xy}$  states hybridized with  $p_x$  and  $p_y$  orbitals of  $\text{O}_p$  become the dominant feature in the XAS (Fig. 1d), although we note that the maximum of the latter XAS resonance is situated at slightly higher energies. A comprehensive survey of the dependence of the inelastic features in the RIXS spectra as a function of the incident energy and the incident angle  $\theta$  is given in Supplementary Fig. 3. Notably, we find that the low-energy features in the RIXS spectra (below 1 eV energy loss), on which we will focus in the following, do not depend significantly on the incident energy. In fact, the peak around 300 meV energy loss in Fig. 2 is present across the entire Rh  $d_{yz}/d_{xz}$  resonance for  $\theta = 6^\circ$ , as well as the  $d_{xy}$  resonance for  $\theta = 80^\circ$ , although the peak intensity decreases when moving away from the XAS maximum energy (see Supplementary Fig. 3). However, the  $\sim 300$  meV peak is absent (or below the detection limit) when the incident photon energy is tuned to 527.6 eV, which corresponds to the resonance of the Rh  $d_{yz}/d_{xz}$  states hybridized with  $p_y/p_x$  orbitals of the  $\text{O}_a$  ions (Fig. 1d and Supplementary Fig. 3). As a consequence of these observations, the




**Fig. 2 Spin-orbit exciton in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$ .** **a**  $K$ -edge RIXS spectra taken at different incident angles  $\theta$ , which varies the projected in-plane momentum transfer along **(a)**, the  $(0,0)$ - $(\pi,0)$  direction and **(b)**, the  $(0,0)$ - $(\pi,\pi)$  direction, as illustrated in the insets. Spectra are offset in vertical direction for clarity. **c** Exemplary fit (solid blue line) of the RIXS spectrum taken at  $\theta = 65^\circ$ . The fitted peak profiles of the quasi-elastic line (gray dotted line) and the SOE (gray area) are indicated. **d** Exemplary fit (solid red line) of the RIXS spectrum taken at  $\theta = 9^\circ$  for the  $(0,0)$ - $(\pi,\pi)$  direction.

key RIXS measurements of this study (Fig. 2) were carried out exclusively at 528.1 eV.

As a first step, we inspect the RIXS spectra in Fig. 2a. Each spectrum in the panel was collected at a different in-plane momentum transfer, which was achieved by varying the incident angle  $\theta$ . The corresponding coverage of the in-plane BZ along the  $(0,0)$ - $(\pi,0)$  direction is illustrated in the inset in Fig. 2a. As the central result, we find that the peak centered around  $\sim 300$  meV for  $\theta = 65^\circ$  disperses to higher energies for increasing momentum transfer (decreasing  $\theta$ ), and broadens in its linewidth. A similar behavior is observed when the momentum transfer is oriented along the  $(0,0)$ - $(\pi,\pi)$  direction (Fig. 2b). For a detailed analysis, we fit the RIXS spectra by the sum of the quasi-elastic peak situated around zero-energy loss and a damped harmonic oscillator (DHO) function<sup>57</sup> that accounts for the dispersive peak around  $\sim 300$  meV. Two exemplary fit results are shown in Fig. 2c, d, while the complete set of fitted spectra and additional information about the fitting procedure are given in the

Methods section and Supplementary Fig. 4. Note that the DHO model is used due to the broad linewidth and asymmetric lineshape of the peak, which is present even at  $(0,0)$  momentum transfer, i.e., at the 2D BZ center. The application of the DHO model is further justified when considering it is strongly damped, in particular for large momentum transfers where the damping parameter extracted in the fits becomes comparable to  $\omega_0$  (Fig. 3a, b). Nevertheless, while the DHO fit captures the rising edge of the dispersive peak accurately (Fig. 2c, d), a single DHO function does not account for additional spectral weight that emerges at higher energy losses. This spectral weight is essentially featureless and reminiscent of a broad background contribution, which will be discussed in more detail below.

The oscillator frequencies  $\omega_0$  extracted with the DHO model are shown in Fig. 3a (filled blue symbols). In addition, we display the positions of the maximum spectral intensity (open blue symbols) for comparison. Both positions are similar in proximity to the BZ



**Fig. 3** Exciton dispersion and propagation in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$  and  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$ . **a** Energy  $\omega_0$  of the SOE (filled blue symbols) extracted from fits of the RIXS spectra with a DHO model (see text and Fig. 2) plotted as a function of the in-plane momentum transfer in units of  $1/d'$ , where  $d' = a/\sqrt{2}$  is the Rh-Rh bond length. The positions of the maximum spectral intensity (open blue symbols) are superimposed for comparison. Filled gray symbols correspond to the energy of the SOE in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$ , extracted by Lorentzian fits of Ir  $L_3$ -edge RIXS data in ref. 24. The gray (blue) line corresponds to a tight-binding model for the exciton hopping in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  ( $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$ ). **b** Damping parameter  $\gamma$  from the DHO fits. The procedure for determining the error bars is detailed in the Methods sections. **c** Schematic of the incoherent nearest-neighbor hopping of the SOE in an AFM background. We use the hole language which is convenient to describe the  $d^5$  configuration containing a single hole in the  $t_{2g}$  manifold. Lattice site A (B) represents spin-up (spin-down) magnetic sublattice of the Ir- $d^5$  ions. The orange arrow indicates the promotion of A site from the  $j = 1/2$  ground state (black spin) to the excited  $j = 3/2$  state (blue spin). The blue cloud represents the exciton. Hole hopping between neighboring sites is denoted by  $\tilde{t}$ . In the virtual state, holes interact via the on-site Coulomb repulsion  $U$ . In the final state of the exchange process, the resulting occupation (red spin) of the A site is incompatible with the magnetic order of the sublattices (red wiggled lines) and a magnon is created. As a consequence, exciton hopping from A to B is incoherent. An additional hopping of the exciton to a next-nearest neighbor (not shown here) can create another frustrated spin that is required for a double-spin flip to reestablish the proper sublattice order. **d** Coherent hopping of the SOE in a paramagnetic metal. A  $t_{2g}$  hole in the ground (excited) state of  $d^5$  ion is indicated by blue sphere. Left: the exchange process involving high-energy ( $\propto U$ ) virtual states as in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  but without a magnon creation. Right: the exciton motion in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$  using a single hole hopping between Rh ions with different charge configurations,  $d^5$  and  $d^6$ , which are present in the metallic ground state. Charge fluctuations between  $d^5$  and  $d^4$  states (discussed in Supplementary Note 4) similarly contribute to the exciton hopping.

center at  $(0,0)$ , but deviate for large momentum transfer along the  $(0,0)-(\pi,0)$  and  $(0,0)-(\pi,\pi)$  directions. This deviation arises because for large damping of the DHO, the center of the peak no longer coincides with the actual oscillator frequency  $\omega_0$ . Since the damping parameter  $\gamma$  in the DHO fits becomes larger for higher momentum transfers (Fig. 3b), the discrepancy between dispersion and peak position thus increases towards the zone boundary. Because the DHO model has the spectral correspondence of a collective mode, whereas the direct physical meaning of maximum spectral intensity might be ambiguous, we focus on the  $\omega_0$  positions in the following.

In any case, we note that the two differently extracted peak energies both fall into an energy interval between  $\approx 250$  and  $375$  meV, with the minimum energy at  $(0,0)$ . This energy scale is significantly higher than the reported single- and bimagnon energies in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$ , which revolve around  $40$  meV<sup>58</sup> and  $160$  meV<sup>26</sup>, respectively. Typical magnon energies in  $4d$ -electron compounds are also well below  $100$  meV<sup>3,5-7</sup>. We therefore rule out a purely magnetic origin of the dispersive  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$  peak. Furthermore, we consider its assignment to a peak seen in optical conductivity<sup>59</sup> as implausible, because this peak is centered only around  $180$  meV and reproduced by theoretical calculations both with and without SOC<sup>54</sup>. Optical transitions that involve  $p-d$  charge transfer were reported at energies higher than  $2$  eV<sup>56</sup> and can also be observed in our RIXS spectra at these high energies (Supplementary Fig. 3). On the other hand, the energy regime of our observed low-energy peak is remarkably similar to  $\frac{3}{2}\lambda \approx 285$  meV, that is, the energy difference between the  $j = 1/2$  and  $3/2$

levels in the  $t_{2g}$  manifold (Fig. 1c), according to the free-ion SOC constant  $\lambda \approx 190$  meV of  $\text{Rh}^{4+}$ <sup>60</sup>. Moreover, quantum chemistry calculations predict a spin-orbit excitation around  $250$  meV in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$ <sup>61</sup>. In analogy to  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$ , we therefore assign the observed mode to excitations of a hole across the spin-orbit split  $t_{2g}$  manifold, which is referred to as SOE<sup>23,24</sup>.

### Comparison to excitons in iridates

A direct comparison between the SOE dispersions in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$  and  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  is provided in Fig. 3a. The most distinctive differences are that the energy scale in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$  is higher and the curvature of the dispersion curves around the  $\Gamma$ -point  $(0,0)$  is inverted. We note that the SOE energy in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  was determined from fits with Lorentzian functions to Ir  $L_3$ -edge RIXS data in ref. 24. While the differences in the data analysis and RIXS process possibly obscure details for a quantitative comparison of the two compounds, the statements about the different energy scales and curvature of the dispersions are robust.

In the  $5d$  material  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$ , the expected SOC splitting is large,  $\frac{3}{2}\lambda \approx 570$  meV<sup>24</sup>, which explains the distinct energy scales in Fig. 3a. Notably, for  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$ , the good agreement between the observed SOE energy and  $\frac{3}{2}\lambda$  indicates that SOC is neither quenched nor 'Coulomb-enhanced'. We note, however, that a decisive determination of the mode energy requires future RIXS experiments with the improved resolution or the use of complementary techniques, such as Raman spectroscopy<sup>25</sup>.

The inverted dispersions in Fig. 3a imply that the exciton propagation processes in the AFM insulator and nonmagnetic metal are qualitatively different. In  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$ , exciton motion involves the exchange of the  $j = 1/2$  and  $j = 3/2$  states, using high-energy virtual hoppings of electrons between  $\text{Ir}^{4+}$  ions (Fig. 3c), similar to spin-exchange in magnets. In the AFM state, the nearest-neighbor exciton hopping creates a flipped spin, i.e., a magnon, and a coherent motion of the exciton is only possible within the same (A or B) magnetic sublattice<sup>23,24</sup>, when the pairs of flipped spins can relax into the AFM ground state. This results in a dispersion minimum at the AFM zone boundary  $(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$  and a maximum at the  $\Gamma$ -point<sup>24</sup>, in close analogy to the motion of a doped hole in the AFM  $\text{CuO}_2$  planes of cuprates<sup>62</sup>.

In paramagnetic  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$ , the exciton hopping does not generate magnons, and no band-folding effects, associated with the magnetic unit cell doubling, occur. The major difference from  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  is, however, that the metallic ground state of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$  comprises valence states other than the dominant  $\text{Rh}^{4+}(d^5)$  one, in particular  $\text{Rh}^{3+}(d^6)$  and  $\text{Rh}^{5+}(d^4)$ . Charge fluctuations between these states can promote the SOE motion without involving any high-energy exchange processes (Fig. 3d, right panel). The overall amplitude of this contribution is proportional to the densities of the  $d^6$  and  $d^4$  charge configurations, and its sign is given by the sign of the hopping integral of the  $t_{2g}$  electrons. The latter is negative, because this hopping is mainly via the oxygen  $p$  orbitals<sup>53</sup>, i.e.,  $t = -t_{pd}^2/\Delta_{pd}$ , where  $t_{pd}$  is the overlap between Rh and O states and  $\Delta_{pd} > 0$  is the charge-transfer gap. Therefore, the SOE dispersion is determined by two competing mechanisms: a virtual exchange process that dominates in the Mott insulator  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$ , and a real charge transfer process that generates the dispersion minimum at the  $\Gamma$ -point in metallic  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$ .

On a qualitative level, the SOE hopping amplitude is  $\tau \sim 2\tilde{t}^2/U - 2\tilde{t}n$ , where  $n$  is the average density of the  $d^6$  charge states (which is equal to that of the  $d^4$  states), reduced from its 'free-electron' value by the on-site Coulomb repulsion. The parameter  $\tilde{t} \simeq \frac{2}{3}t_{pd}^2/\Delta_{pd}$  refers to the hoppings between the spin-orbit  $j$  levels in Fig. 3 and includes the SOC projection factor of 2/3. A quantitative theory should include mixing of the SOE modes with the underlying electronic particle-hole continuum, as the exciton motion in metals is coupled to the fermionic density fluctuations (Fig. 3d). The observed broad linewidth of the SOE and the additional spectral weight that is not captured by the DHO fits (Fig. 2c, d and Methods) might be a signature of this mixing.

### Modeling of the exciton propagation

Further insights into the dispersion of the SOE can be gleaned from the application of a semi-quantitative tight-binding model on a square lattice, representing the Rh (Ir) sites in the  $\text{RhO}_2$  ( $\text{IrO}_2$ ) planes, with  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ , and  $\tau_3$ , the nearest, next-nearest, and third-nearest neighbor exciton hopping parameters, respectively. In a model capturing the dispersion of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$ , the hopping amplitudes are positive, with  $\tau_1$  possessing a small value, while  $\tau_2$  and  $\tau_3$  are large and of similar magnitude. The small value of  $\tau_1$  is due to the AFM background, which inhibits a coherent nearest-neighbor hopping<sup>23,24</sup> (Fig. 3c). The resulting dispersion for a parameter choice with a  $\tau_1:\tau_2:\tau_3$  ratio of 1:4:4 and  $\tau_1 = 2$  meV is shown as the gray line in Fig. 3a.

In contrast, the hopping amplitudes for  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$  are negative, and the  $\tau_1$  value is dominant, for instance such that the  $\tau_1:\tau_2:\tau_3$  ratio is 4:1:0.7 and  $\tau_1 = -20$  meV (blue line in Fig. 3a). The leading  $\tau_1$  value is rationalized by a coherent hopping of SOE in the PM background, as shown in Fig. 3d, and its negative value implies a predominance of the second term in the relation  $\tau \sim 2\tilde{t}^2/U - 2\tilde{t}n$  introduced above. In fact, with  $t_{pd}^2/\Delta_{pd} \approx 0.2$  eV typical for  $t_{2g}$  electrons, the Coulomb interaction  $U \approx 2.3$  eV in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$ <sup>54</sup>, and a density  $n \approx 1/8$ , this estimate yields a  $\tau_1$  comparable to the one obtained from our modeling. It is interesting to note that in terms

of the Hubbard model, the above value of  $n$  places  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$  halfway between the free-electron and the Mott-insulating limits ( $n = 1/4$  and  $n = 0$ , correspondingly).

Despite the significantly smaller values of  $\tau_2$  and  $\tau_3$  compared to  $\tau_1$  in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$ , a model that completely disregards them fails to describe the experimentally observed dispersion around (0,0) well. We interpret the necessity to include  $\tau_2$  and  $\tau_3$  as a consequence of the spatially extended character of the  $4d$  wavefunctions, which may result in longer-range hoppings. Nevertheless, for the precise determination of the  $\tau_1:\tau_2:\tau_3$  ratio, data of the SOE energies beyond the maximum momentum transfer of the present O  $K$ -edge RIXS experiment would be required. Future experiments that provide a wider coverage of reciprocal space, for instance Rh  $L_3$ -edge RIXS or inelastic neutron scattering, are therefore highly desirable.

### DISCUSSION

In summary, our observation and description of a collective, spin-orbit entangled mode provide direct evidence for the significance of SOC in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$ . The presence of the mode indicates that the splitting of the  $t_{2g}$  manifold into states with total angular momentum  $j = 1/2$  and  $3/2$  is an essential ingredient of the low-energy electronic structure of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$ , which needs to be taken into account in future theoretical models of this material and other  $4d$ -electron compounds. We find that the energy scale of the SOE mode is close to 3/2 of the SOC constant  $\lambda$  of  $\text{Rh}^{4+}$ , which implies the presence of unquenched orbital moments. Our comparison to the SOE dispersion in the AFM insulating  $5d$  analogue  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  reveals how excitonic quasiparticles behave when they propagate on a metallic background and magnonic dressings are stripped off.

Furthermore, our results suggest that the SOE is a property of the  $\text{RhO}_2$  planes and possesses a 2D-like character, as the mode resonates exclusively at energies that correspond to hybridized states of Rh and planar O sites (Supplementary Fig. 3). Such a character is reminiscent of the extensively studied excitons in 2D layered materials, including monolayer transition metal dichalcogenides<sup>63</sup>. Notably, the propagation of excitons in the latter materials can be controlled by external tuning parameters<sup>64</sup>, and a bosonic condensation can be achieved for instance by optical pumping<sup>65</sup>. Whether the properties of the SOE in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$  can be tuned in a similar fashion remains to be examined in future studies.

Extending our findings beyond  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$ , our insights into the differences of the SOE propagation in antiferromagnetic insulating versus metallic systems hold significant implications for a variety of  $4d$  and  $5d$  transition metal compounds, including those based on ruthenium<sup>8,66-72</sup>. In particular, the charge fluctuation mechanism might also be critical for the SOE propagation in the correlated metal and superconductor  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RuO}_4$ , where previous RIXS experiments at the O  $K$ -edge have reported a spin-orbit entangled mode with an energy of a few hundred meV<sup>67</sup> and a study at the Ru  $L_3$ -edge has identified dispersive branches of spin-orbital excitations<sup>73</sup>. Yet, the  $d^4$  ground state of the  $\text{Ru}^{4+}$  ions with two holes in the  $t_{2g}$  shell suggests the emergence of a more complex SOE multiplet structure than that of Rh and Ir ions in  $d^5$  configuration, calling for complementary experimental and theoretical investigations.

### METHODS

#### Sample growth

Single crystals of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$  were synthesized using the optical-floating zone technique. Off-stoichiometric quantities of  $\text{Rh}_2\text{O}_3$  (Alfa Aesar, 99.9%) and  $\text{SrCO}_3$  (Alfa Aesar, 99.994%) powder with the molar ratio 1.15:4 were ground together and calcinated at 1100 °C for 36 hrs. Subsequently the powder was reground and compressed into a dense rod which was then sintered at 1400 °C

for 48 hrs. To promote the oxidization of Rh<sup>3+</sup> to Rh<sup>4+</sup>, both calcination steps were performed under flowing O<sub>2</sub> gas. The floating zone growth was performed using a CSC FZ-T-10000-H-II-VP furnace equipped with four 1.5 kW halogen lamps. A maximum of 7 bar oxygen partial gas pressure was applied and crystals were grown at a rate of 10 mm/hr to limit the amount of evaporation from highly volatile Rh-oxides. The largest single-crystals had dimensions of approximately 1.5 cm × 0.5 cm × 0.4 cm, and it was found that the crystals cleave easily. A characterization of the physical properties of the crystals is given in the Supplementary Note 1.

### RIXS experiment

The XAS and RIXS spectra were collected at the U41-PEAXIS beamline<sup>74–76</sup> of BESSY II at the HZB, Berlin. The measurements were carried out at  $T = 15$  K with linearly  $\pi$ -polarized photons with energies tuned to the O  $K$ -edge ( $\approx 530$  eV). The effective energy resolution of the RIXS measurements was  $\Delta E \approx 90$  meV. The scattering geometry of the experiment is sketched in Fig. 1b.

### Analysis of the RIXS data

An overview of all fitted RIXS spectra is presented in Supplementary Fig. 4. The zero-energy loss in each spectrum was determined by acquiring a reference spectrum from carbon-tape prior to each measurement at different incident angles. To that end, a thin piece of carbon tape film which covers a small portion of the sample surface was attached on the Sr<sub>2</sub>RhO<sub>4</sub> single-crystal. The effect of height difference between sample and tape was found to be negligible by scanning the tape at multiple positions along the beam. The employed energy resolution did not allow us to resolve fine details around zero-energy loss. Therefore, the elastic peak was modeled by a Voigt function<sup>77,78</sup>, capturing both elastic and quasi-elastic contributions in a single peak. The SOE was modeled using a damped harmonic oscillator (DHO) function<sup>57</sup>, which can be expressed as

$$f(\omega) \propto \frac{\gamma\omega}{(\omega^2 - \omega_0^2)^2 + 4\gamma^2\omega^2}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\omega_0$  denotes the oscillator frequency and  $\gamma$  is the damping parameter. The experimental energy resolution of  $\Delta E = 90$  meV was taken into account via Gaussian convolution of the DHO function. Note that for large damping, the oscillator frequency can deviate from the position  $\omega_p$  of the peak maximum, as  $\omega_0^2 = \omega_p^2 + \gamma^2$  (for  $\gamma \leq \omega_0$ ). As a consequence, we find that the peak position and  $\omega_0$  deviate in the RIXS spectra in Figs. 2 and 3 for high momentum transfers, where the SOE feature becomes increasingly broad due to larger damping<sup>79</sup>. An additional complication arises from excess spectral weight at the high-energy shoulder of the SOE peak, which cannot be captured by the DHO function employed for the SOE. The emergence of this spectral weight can be due to the particle-hole continuum or multiple-exciton processes, and is reminiscent of the asymmetry of the SOE feature in the O  $K$ -edge RIXS spectra of Sr<sub>2</sub>IrO<sub>4</sub><sup>26,27</sup>. In our approach, we do not attempt to capture this incoherent contribution by fitting additional peaks at higher energies. Instead, we only fit the ‘coherent’ part of the peak, that is, the low-energy shoulder as well as a small range of the high-energy shoulder. A possible error that can be introduced by the choice of the cut-off of the fitting range of the high-energy shoulder is reflected by the error bars for  $\omega_0$  shown in Fig. 3a. Specifically, we extracted  $\omega_0$  for a number of different fits with differently chosen cut-offs of the fitting range of the high-energy shoulder (see Supplementary Fig. 5). The resulting spread of  $\omega_0$  is indicated by the error bars at the filled blue symbols in Fig. 3a. The average energy defines the position of the data point. The error bars of open blue symbols in Fig. 3a reflect a conservative estimate of the uncertainty in our assignment of the maximum of the intensity, in

correspondence to the sampling interval of our RIXS data points, which is 16 meV.

### DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

### CODE AVAILABILITY

The numerical codes used to generate the results in this work are available from the corresponding authors on reasonable request.

Received: 22 February 2023; Accepted: 26 September 2023;

Published online: 05 October 2023

### REFERENCES

- Witczak-Krempa, W., Chen, G., Kim, Y. B. & Balents, L. Correlated quantum phenomena in the strong spin-orbit regime. *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* **5**, 57–82 (2014).
- Rau, J. G., Lee, E. K.-H. & Kee, H.-Y. Spin-Orbit Physics Giving Rise to Novel Phases in Correlated Systems: Iridates and Related Materials. *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* **7**, 195–221 (2016).
- Takayama, T., Chaloupka, J., Smerald, A., Khaliullin, G. & Takagi, H. Spin-Orbit-Entangled Electronic Phases in 4d and 5d Transition-Metal Compounds. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **90**, 062001 (2021).
- Khaliullin, G. Excitonic Magnetism in Van Vleck–type  $d^4$  Mott Insulators. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 197201 (2013).
- Kunkemöller, S. et al. Highly Anisotropic Magnon Dispersion in Ca<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub>: Evidence for Strong Spin Orbit Coupling. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 247201 (2015).
- Jain, A. et al. Higgs mode and its decay in a two-dimensional antiferromagnet. *Nat. Phys.* **13**, 633–637 (2017).
- Souliou, S.-M. et al. Raman Scattering from Higgs Mode Oscillations in the Two-Dimensional Antiferromagnet Ca<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub>. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 067201 (2017).
- Getarsson, H. et al. Observation of spin-orbit excitations and Hund’s multiplets in Ca<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub>. *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 045123 (2019).
- Feldmaier, T., Strobel, P., Schmid, M., Hansmann, P. & Daghofer, M. Excitonic magnetism at the intersection of spin-orbit coupling and crystal-field splitting. *Phys. Rev. Res.* **2**, 033201 (2020).
- Takagi, H., Takayama, T., Jackeli, G., Khaliullin, G. & Nagler, S. E. Concept and realization of Kitaev quantum spin liquids. *Nat. Rev. Phys.* **1**, 264–280 (2019).
- Liu, H., Chaloupka, J. & Khaliullin, G. Kitaev Spin Liquid in 3d Transition Metal Compounds. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 047201 (2020).
- Buyers, W. J. L., Holden, T. M., Svensson, E. C., Cowley, R. A. & Hutchings, M. T. Excitations in KCoF<sub>3</sub>. II. Theoretical. *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.* **4**, 2139–2159 (1971).
- Schlappa, J. et al. Spin-orbital separation in the quasi-one-dimensional Mott insulator Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub>. *Nature* **485**, 82–85 (2012).
- Sarte, P. M. et al. Spin-orbit excitons in CoO. *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 075143 (2019).
- Yuan, B. et al. Spin-orbit exciton in a honeycomb lattice magnet CoTiO<sub>3</sub>: revealing a link between magnetism in  $d$ - and  $f$ -electron systems. *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 134404 (2020).
- Bertinshaw, J., Kim, Y., Khaliullin, G. & Kim, B. Square Lattice Iridates. *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* **10**, 315–336 (2019).
- Kim, B. J. et al. Novel  $J_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$  Mott State Induced by Relativistic Spin-Orbit Coupling in Sr<sub>2</sub>IrO<sub>4</sub>. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 076402 (2008).
- Moon, S. J. et al. Dimensionality-Controlled Insulator-Metal Transition and Correlated Metallic State in 5d Transition Metal Oxides Sr<sub>n+1</sub>Ir<sub>n</sub>O<sub>3n+1</sub> ( $n = 1, 2$ , and  $\infty$ ). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 226402 (2008).
- Jackeli, G. & Khaliullin, G. Mott Insulators in the Strong Spin-Orbit Coupling Limit: From Heisenberg to a Quantum Compass and Kitaev Models. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 017205 (2009).
- Kim, B. J. et al. Phase-Sensitive Observation of a Spin-Orbital Mott State in Sr<sub>2</sub>IrO<sub>4</sub>. *Science* **323**, 1329–1332 (2009).
- Watanabe, H., Shirakawa, T. & Yunoki, S. Microscopic Study of a Spin-Orbit-Induced Mott Insulator in Ir Oxides. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 216410 (2010).
- Zwartsenberg, B. et al. Spin-orbit-controlled metal-insulator transition in Sr<sub>2</sub>IrO<sub>4</sub>. *Nat. Phys.* **16**, 290–294 (2020).
- Kim, J. et al. Magnetic Excitation Spectra of Sr<sub>2</sub>IrO<sub>4</sub> Probed by Resonant Inelastic X-Ray Scattering: Establishing Links to Cuprate Superconductors. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 177003 (2012).

24. Kim, J. et al. Excitonic quasiparticles in a spin-orbit Mott insulator. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 4453 (2014).
25. Yang, J.-A. et al. High-energy electronic excitations in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  observed by Raman scattering. *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 195140 (2015).
26. Lu, X. et al. Dispersive magnetic and electronic excitations in iridate perovskites probed by oxygen  $K$ -edge resonant inelastic x-ray scattering. *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 041102 (2018).
27. Ilakovac, V. et al. Oxygen states in La- and Rh-doped  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  probed by angle-resolved photoemission and O  $K$ -edge resonant inelastic x-ray scattering. *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 035149 (2019).
28. Paris, E. et al. Strain engineering of the charge and spin-orbital interactions in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$ . *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **117**, 24764–24770 (2020).
29. Gretarsson, H. et al. Persistent paramagnons deep in the metallic phase of  $\text{Sr}_{2-x}\text{La}_x\text{IrO}_4$ . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 107001 (2016).
30. Clancy, J. P. et al. Magnetic excitations in hole-doped  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$ : comparison with electron-doped cuprates. *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 104414 (2019).
31. Ng, K. K. & Sigrist, M. The role of spin-orbit coupling for the superconducting state in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RuO}_4$ . *EPL* **49**, 473–479 (2000).
32. Yanase, Y., Takamatsu, S. & Udagawa, M. Spin-orbit coupling and multiple phases in spin-triplet superconductor  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RuO}_4$ . *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **83**, 061019 (2014).
33. Ramires, A. & Sigrist, M. Identifying detrimental effects for multiorbital superconductivity: application to  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RuO}_4$ . *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 104501 (2016).
34. Cobo, S., Ahn, F., Eremin, I. & Akbari, A. Anisotropic spin fluctuations in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RuO}_4$ : role of spin-orbit coupling and induced strain. *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 224507 (2016).
35. Kim, B., Khmelevskiy, S., Mazin, I. I., Agterberg, D. F. & Franchini, C. Anisotropy of magnetic interactions and symmetry of the order parameter in unconventional superconductor  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RuO}_4$ . *npj Quantum Mater.* **2**, 37 (2017).
36. Kim, M., Mravlje, J., Ferrero, M., Parcollet, O. & Georges, A. Spin-orbit coupling and electronic correlations in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RuO}_4$ . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 126401 (2018).
37. Suh, H. G. et al. Stabilizing even-parity chiral superconductivity in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RuO}_4$ . *Phys. Rev. Res.* **2**, 032023 (2020).
38. Petsch, A. N. et al. Reduction of the Spin Susceptibility in the Superconducting State of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RuO}_4$  Observed by Polarized Neutron Scattering. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 217004 (2020).
39. Clepkens, J., Lindquist, A. W. & Kee, H.-Y. Shadowed triplet pairings in Hund's metals with spin-orbit coupling. *Phys. Rev. Res.* **3**, 013001 (2021).
40. Gingras, O., Allaglo, N., Nourafkan, R., Côté, M. & Tremblay, A.-M. S. Superconductivity in correlated multiorbital systems with spin-orbit coupling: Coexistence of even- and odd-frequency pairing, and the case of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RuO}_4$ . *Phys. Rev. B* **106**, 064513 (2022).
41. Hill, J. P., Kao, C.-C., Caliebe, W. A. C., Gibbs, D. & Hastings, J. B. Inelastic X-Ray Scattering Study of Solid and Liquid Li and Na. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3665–3668 (1996).
42. Hepting, M. et al. Three-dimensional collective charge excitations in electron-doped copper oxide superconductors. *Nature* **563**, 374–378 (2018).
43. Double, R. et al. Direct Observation of Paramagnons in Palladium. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 027207 (2010).
44. Le Tacon, M. et al. Intense paramagnon excitations in a large family of high-temperature superconductors. *Nat. Phys.* **7**, 725–730 (2011).
45. Nemkovski, K. S. et al. Polarized-Neutron Study of Spin Dynamics in the Kondo Insulator  $\text{YbB}_{12}$ . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 137204 (2007).
46. Jang, H. et al. Intense low-energy ferromagnetic fluctuations in the anti-ferromagnetic heavy-fermion metal  $\text{CeB}_6$ . *Nat. Mater.* **13**, 682–687 (2014).
47. Perry, R. S. et al.  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$ : a new, clean correlated electron metal. *N. J. Phys.* **8**, 175–175 (2006).
48. Baumberger, F. et al. Fermi Surface and Quasiparticle Excitations of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$ . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 246402 (2006).
49. Battisti, I. et al. Direct comparison of ARPES, STM, and quantum oscillation data for band structure determination in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$ . *npj Quantum Mater.* **5**, 91 (2020).
50. Kim, B. J. et al. Missing  $xy$ -Band Fermi Surface in  $4d$  Transition-Metal Oxide  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$ : Effect of the Octahedra Rotation on the Electronic Structure. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 106401 (2006).
51. Haverkort, M. W., Elfimov, I. S., Tjeng, L. H., Sawatzky, G. A. & Damascelli, A. Strong Spin-Orbit Coupling Effects on the Fermi Surface of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RuO}_4$  and  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$ . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 026406 (2008).
52. Martins, C., Aichhorn, M., Vaugier, L. & Biermann, S. Reduced Effective Spin-Orbital Degeneracy and Spin-Orbital Ordering in Paramagnetic Transition-Metal Oxides:  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  versus  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$ . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 266404 (2011).
53. Liu, G.-Q., Antonov, V. N., Jepsen, O. & Andersen, O. K. Coulomb-Enhanced Spin-Orbit Splitting: The Missing Piece in the  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$  Puzzle. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 026408 (2008).
54. Zhang, G. & Pavarini, E. Optical conductivity, Fermi surface, and spin-orbit coupling effects in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$ . *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 125102 (2019).
55. Crawford, M. K. et al. Structural and magnetic studies of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$ . *Phys. Rev. B* **49**, 9198–9201 (1994).
56. Moon, S. J. et al. Electronic structures of layered perovskite  $\text{Sr}_2\text{MO}_4$  ( $M = \text{Ru, Rh, and Ir}$ ). *Phys. Rev. B* **74**, 113104 (2006).
57. Peng, Y. Y. et al. Dispersion, damping, and intensity of spin excitations in the monolayer  $(\text{Bi,Pb})_2(\text{Sr,La})_2\text{CuO}_{6+\delta}$  cuprate superconductor family. *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 144507 (2018).
58. Liu, X. et al. Probing single magnon excitations in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  using O  $K$ -edge resonant inelastic x-ray scattering. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **27**, 202202 (2015).
59. Sandilands, L. J. et al. Spin-orbit coupling and interband transitions in the optical conductivity of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RhO}_4$ . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 267402 (2017).
60. Abragam, A. & Bleaney, B. *Electron Paramagnetic Resonance of Transition Ions* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1970).
61. Katukuri, V. M. et al. Electronic structure of low-dimensional  $4d^5$  oxides: interplay of ligand distortions, overall lattice anisotropy, and spin-orbit interactions. *Inorg. Chem.* **53**, 4833–4839 (2014).
62. Kane, C. L., Lee, P. A. & Read, N. Motion of a single hole in a quantum anti-ferromagnet. *Phys. Rev. B* **39**, 6880–6897 (1989).
63. Kolobov, A. V. & Tominaga, J. *Two-Dimensional Transition-Metal Dichalcogenides* (Springer Nature, Switzerland, 2016).
64. Su, J.-J. & MacDonald, A. H. How to make a bilayer exciton condensate flow. *Nat. Phys.* **4**, 799–802 (2008).
65. Anton-Solanas, C. et al. Bosonic condensation of exciton-polaritons in an atomically thin crystal. *Nat. Mater.* **20**, 1233–1239 (2021).
66. Suzuki, H. et al. Proximate ferromagnetic state in the Kitaev suzaki material  $\alpha\text{-RuCl}_3$ . *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 4512 (2021).
67. Fatuzzo, C. G. et al. Spin-orbit-induced orbital excitations in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RuO}_4$  and  $\text{Ca}_2\text{RuO}_4$ : a resonant inelastic x-ray scattering study. *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 155104 (2015).
68. Das, L. et al. Spin-orbital excitations in  $\text{Ca}_2\text{RuO}_4$  revealed by resonant inelastic X-Ray scattering. *Phys. Rev. X* **8**, 011048 (2018).
69. von Arx, K. et al. Resonant inelastic x-ray scattering study of  $\text{Ca}_3\text{Ru}_2\text{O}_7$ . *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 235104 (2020).
70. Lebert, B. W. et al. Resonant inelastic x-ray scattering study of  $\alpha\text{-RuCl}_3$ : a progress report. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **32**, 144001 (2020).
71. Occhialini, C. A. et al. Local electronic structure of rutile  $\text{RuO}_2$ . *Phys. Rev. Res.* **3**, 033214 (2021).
72. Lee, J.-H. et al. Multiple spin-orbit excitons in  $\alpha\text{-RuCl}_3$  from bulk to atomically thin layers. *npj Quantum Mater.* **6**, 43 (2021).
73. Suzuki, H. et al. Distinct spin and orbital dynamics in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RuO}_4$ . arxiv:2212.00245 (2022).
74. Lieutenant, K. et al. Numerical optimization of a RIXS spectrometer using ray-tracing simulations. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **738**, 012104 (2016).
75. Lieutenant, K. et al. Design concept of the high-resolution end-station PEAXIS at BESSY II: Wide-Q-range RIXS and XPS measurements on solids, solutions, and interfaces. *J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.* **210**, 54–65 (2016).
76. Schulz, C. et al. Characterization of the soft X-ray spectrometer PEAXIS at BESSY II. *J. Synchrotron Radiat.* **27**, 238–249 (2020).
77. Takahashi, H. et al. Nonmagnetic  $J = 0$  State and Spin-Orbit Excitations in  $\text{K}_2\text{RuCl}_6$ . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 227201 (2021).
78. Gretarsson, H. et al. Magnetic excitation spectrum of  $\text{Na}_2\text{IrO}_3$  probed with resonant inelastic x-ray scattering. *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 220407 (2013).
79. Lamsal, J. & Montfroy, W. Extracting paramagnon excitations from resonant inelastic x-ray scattering experiments. *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 214513 (2016).

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge valuable discussions with P. Pupal and V. M. Katukuri. We thank C. Stefani from the X-ray Diffraction Scientific Facility at MPI-FKF for the powder x-ray diffraction measurements and S. Hammoud from the Chemical Synthesis Service Facility at MPI-IS for the ICP-AES measurements. The research was undertaken thanks in part to funding from the Max Planck-UBC-UTokyo Center for Quantum Materials. L. Wang acknowledges support by the Alexander von Humboldt foundation.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

M.H., G.K. and B.K. conceived and initiated the project. V.Z. and A.K.Y. synthesized and characterized the samples under the supervision of M.I. The RIXS experiments were carried out by V.Z., D.W., C.S. and M.B. under the supervision of K.H. The data was analyzed and modeled by V.Z., M.H., L.W., M.M. and G.K. The manuscript was written by V.Z., G.K. and M.H., with input from all authors.

## FUNDING

Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

**Supplementary information** The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41535-023-00585-4>.

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to Bernhard Keimer or Matthias Hepting.

**Reprints and permission information** is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2023